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ABSTRACT

In this article, we present a novel algorithm, named nonsymmetric K_-Lanczos algorithm, for computing a few extreme eigenvalues of the generalized eigenvalue problem \( Mx = \lambda Lx \), where the matrices \( M \) and \( L \) have the so-called K_-structures. We demonstrate a K_-tridiagonalization procedure preserves the K_-structures. An error bound for the extreme K_-Ritz value obtained from this new algorithm is presented. When compared with the class nonsymmetric Lanczos approach, this method has the same order of computational complexity and can be viewed as a special \( 2 \times 2 \)-block nonsymmetric Lanczos algorithm. Numerical experiments with randomly generated K_-matrices show that our algorithm converges faster and more accurate than the nonsymmetric Lanczos algorithm. © Elsevier Science Inc., 1997
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1. INTRODUCTION

Many modeling problems in scientific computing require the development of efficient numerical methods for solving the associated eigenvalue problems. In quantum chemistry, for example, the time-independent Hartree–Fock model \([1, 2]\) leads to the following generalized eigenvalue problem

\[ Mx = \lambda Lx, \tag{1.1} \]

where \(M, L \in \mathbb{R}^{2n \times 2n}\), \(M = M^T\), \(L = L^T\), \(\lambda \in \mathbb{C}\) is the eigenvalue of \((1.1)\), and \(x \in \mathbb{C}^{2n}\) is the corresponding eigenvector. Moreover, \(M\) is a so-called symmetric \(K_+\)-matrix and can be represented in the block structure

\[ M = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ B & A \end{bmatrix}\tag{1.2} \]

with \(A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}\), \(A = A^T\), \(B = B^T\), and \(L\) is a symmetric \(K_-\)-matrix

\[ L = \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma & \Delta \\ -\Delta & -\Sigma \end{bmatrix}\tag{1.3} \]

with \(\Sigma, \Delta \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}\), \(\Sigma = \Sigma^T\), \(\Delta = -\Delta^T\). If we define the matrix \(K\) to be

\[ K = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I_n \\ I_n & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \tag{1.4} \]

where \(I_n\) is the \(n \times n\) identity matrix, then we have

\[ (MK)^T = MK = KM, \tag{1.5} \]

and

\[ (LK)^T = -LK = KL. \tag{1.6} \]

If matrix \(L\) is invertible, the generalized eigenvalue problem \((1.1)\) can be transformed to the eigenvalue problem

\[ L^{-1}Mx = \lambda x. \tag{1.7} \]
That is, the generalized eigenvalue problem (1.1) is equivalent to the eigenvalue problem (1.5). Let

\[ N = L^{-1}M; \]  

one can verify that \( N \) is a \( 2n \times 2n \) \( K_- \)-matrix [2].

In general, the matrices \( M \) and \( L \) can be very large (\( n \approx 10^6 \)) and sparse, and only a few extreme eigenvalues are required. The nonsymmetric Lanczos algorithm [3] is one of the most widely used techniques for computing some extreme eigenvalues of a large sparse nonsymmetric matrix. In this approach, the matrix \( N \) is reduced to a tridiagonal matrix, which no longer has the \( K_- \)-structure, using a general similarity transformation. Information about \( N \)'s extreme eigenvalues tends to emerge long before the tridiagonalization process is complete. The Ritz values (eigenvalues of this tridiagonal submatrix) are used to approximate the extreme eigenvalues of \( N \) [4]. A convergence analysis for the nonsymmetric Lanczos method was recently presented by Ye in [5].

In [6], Flaschka considered the case when \( N \) is symmetric. The symmetric \( K_- \)-algorithm and KQR algorithm were proposed for solving problem (1.1).

In this article, we propose a new algorithm; we name it nonsymmetric \( K_- \)-Lanczos algorithm, for computing a few extreme eigenvalues of large sparse nonsymmetric \( K_- \)-matrices for problem (1.1). This new method utilizes the special properties of the \( K_- \)-structure, preserves the structure at each step, and reduces the \( K_- \)-matrix to a \( K_- \)-tridiagonal matrix. The extreme eigenvalues are approximated by the \( K_- \)-Ritz values of the \( K_- \)-tridiagonal matrix using nonsymmetric KQR or KQZ algorithm [7]. An error bound, which demonstrates the convergence behavior of this nonsymmetric \( K_- \)-Lanczos algorithm, is developed and analyzed for the \( K_- \)-Ritz values. This algorithm has the same order of computational complexity as the nonsymmetric Lanczos algorithm in terms of floating-point operations. A our numerical experiments with randomly generated nonsymmetric \( K_- \)-matrices show that the new algorithm converges faster than the classic nonsymmetric Lanczos algorithm. With minor modifications, the algorithm can be adapted for \( K_+ \)-matrices.

We organize this article as follows. In Section 2, we establish the existence of the nonsymmetric \( K_- \)-tridiagonalization theorem. A new elimination procedure and the nonsymmetric \( K_- \)-Lanczos algorithm is introduced in Section 3. Then we present an error bound for the extreme \( K_- \)-Ritz values and analyze the convergence behavior in Section 4. Numerical experiments and some remarks are summarized in Section 5 followed by the conclusion in Section 6.
2. NONSYMMETRIC $K_-$-TRIDIAGONALIZATION

When the nonsymmetric Lanczos method is applied to a $K_-$-matrix, the algorithm treats this matrix as a general matrix and reduces it to a tridiagonal matrix which no longer has the $K_-$-structure. In this section, we shall prove that under certain conditions, if $N \in \mathbb{R}^{2n \times 2n}$ is a $K_-$-matrix, there exists a $K_-$-similarity transformation $X \in \mathbb{R}^{2n \times 2n}$ such that $X^{-1}NX$ is an unreduced $K_-$-tridiagonal matrix. That is,

$$X^{-1}NX = T = \begin{bmatrix} T_1 & T_2 \\ -T_2 & -T_1 \end{bmatrix},$$

where $T_1, T_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ are tridiagonal, and

$$| (T_1)_{i,i+1} | \neq | (T_2)_{i,i+1} |,$$

$$| (T_1)_{i+1,i} | \neq | (T_2)_{i+1,i} |,$$

for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n - 1$. Unlike the nonsymmetric Lanczos method, this similarity transformation preserves the $K_-$-structure.

The following theorem can be proved immediately from theorems in [7].

**Theorem 2.1.** Suppose $N \in \mathbb{R}^{2n \times 2n}$ is a $K_-$-matrix, $K \in \mathbb{R}^{2n \times 2n}$ is as defined in (1.2) and the $K_-$-Krylov matrix

$$V \equiv V[N, x, n] = x, Nx, \ldots, N^{n-1}x - Kx, -KNx, \ldots, -KN^{n-1}x$$

for some $x \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$, has full rank.

(1) If $X \in \mathbb{R}^{2n \times 2n}$ is a nonsingular $K_-$-matrix such that $R \equiv X^{-1}V$ is a $K_-$-upper triangular matrix, then $H \equiv X^{-1}NX$ is an unreduced $K_-$-upper Hessenberg matrix.

(2) Let $X \in \mathbb{R}^{2n \times 2n}$ be a $K_-$-matrix with the first column $x$. If $H \equiv X^{-1}NX$ is an unreduced $K_-$-upper Hessenberg matrix, then the $K_-$-Krylov matrix $V[N, x, n] = XV[H, e_{1,2n}, n]$, where $V[H, e_{1,2n}, n]$ is a nonsingular $K_-$-upper triangular matrix and $e_{1,2n}$ is the first column of $I_{2n}$.

(3) Let $H \equiv X^{-1}NX$ and $H \equiv Y^{-1}NY$ be $K_-$-upper Hessenberg matrices with $X$ and $Y$ both nonsingular $K_-$-matrices. If $H$ is unreduced and the first
columns of $X$ and $Y$ are linearly dependent, then $H$ is unreduced and $X^{-1}Y$ is $K_-$-upper triangular.

Next we show that a $K_+$-matrix can be factored into the product of a $K_-$-lower triangular matrix and a $K_+$-upper triangular matrix if the conditions are satisfied. This property is similar to the general LU-decomposition theorem but in special $K_\pm$-structure.

**Theorem 2.2 (Real $K_+$-LR Factorization).** Let $M \in \mathbb{R}^{2n \times 2n}$ be a $K_+$-matrix and be partitioned as follows:

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ B & A' \end{bmatrix},$$

with $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$. If all the leading principal submatrices of $A + B$ and $A - B$ are nonsingular, then there exists a $K_-$-lower triangular matrix $L$ and a $K_+$-upper triangular matrix $R$ such that $M = LR$.

**Proof.** Let

$$Z = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} I_n & I_n \\ I_n & -I_n \end{bmatrix},$$

where $I_n$ is the $n \times n$ identity matrix. Then $Z$ is nonsingular and

$$Z^T M Z = \begin{bmatrix} A + B & 0 \\ 0 & A - B \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since all leading principal submatrices of $A + B$ and $A - B$ are nonsingular, $A + B$ and $A - B$ have the LR-decompositions, say, $A + B = L_1 R_1$ and $A - B = L_2 R_2$, where $L_1, L_2$ are lower triangular and $R_1, R_2$ are upper triangular. Then

$$Z^T M Z = \begin{bmatrix} L_1 R_1 & 0 \\ 0 & L_2 R_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} L_1 & 0 \\ 0 & L_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} R_1 & 0 \\ 0 & R_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$
It follows that

\[
M = Z^{-T} \begin{bmatrix} L_1 & 0 \\ 0 & L_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} R_1 & 0 \\ 0 & R_2 \end{bmatrix} Z^{-1}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} L_1 R_1 + L_2 R_2 & L_1 R_1 - L_2 R_2 \\ L_1 R_1 - L_2 R_2 & L_1 R_1 + L_2 R_2 \end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
\equiv LR,
\]

where

\[
L = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2}(L_1 + L_2) & \frac{1}{2}(L_2 - L_1) \\ -\frac{1}{2}(L_2 - L_1) & -\frac{1}{2}(L_1 + L_2) \end{bmatrix}
\]

and

\[
R = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2}(R_1 + R_2) & \frac{1}{2}(R_1 + R_2) \\ -\frac{1}{2}(R_1 - R_2) & -\frac{1}{2}(R_1 + R_2) \end{bmatrix}.
\]

It is easy to check that \( L \) is a \( K_- \)-lower triangular matrix and \( R \) is a \( K_- \) upper triangular matrix. Now we prove the existence theorem for the nonsymmetric \( K_- \) Lanczos tridiagonalization.

**Theorem 2.3 (Existence Theorem).** Let \( N \) be a \( K_- \)-matrix. If \( V[N, x, n] \) and \( V[N^T, y, n] \) are nonsingular \( K_- \)-Krylov matrices for some \( x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} \) such that \( B = V[N', y, n]V[N, x, n] \) has the real \( K_+ \)-LR decomposition, \( B = LR \), then there exists a nonsingular \( K_+ \)-matrix \( X \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} \) such that \( T = X^{-1}NX \) is an unreduced \( K_- \)-tridiagonal matrix.

**Proof.** Let

\[
X = V[N, x, n]R^{-1}.
\]

Then \( X \) is a nonsingular \( K_- \)-matrix. Since \( R \) is \( K_- \)-upper triangular, it follows from Theorem 1 that \( H = X^{-1}NX \) is an unreduced \( K_- \)-upper Hessenberg matrix. Also from the assumption \( B = V[N^T, y, n]^T V[N, x, n] = LR \), we have \( V[N^T, y, n] = X^{-1}L^T \). \( L \) is \( K_- \)-lower triangular; hence \( L^T \) is
$K_-$-upper triangular. Apply Theorem 1 once again; one obtains that $\hat{H} = X^T N^T X^{-T}$ is unreduced $K_-$-upper Hessenberg. This implies that $H = X^{-1} N X = \hat{H}^T$ is unreduced $K_-$-lower Hessenberg. Therefore

$$T \equiv X^{-1} N X$$

is an unreduced $K_-$-tridiagonal matrix.

**Theorem 2.4.** Let $X$ and $Y$ be nonsingular $K_+$-matrices. Suppose that

(a) $T \equiv X^{-1} N X$ and $\tilde{T} \equiv Y^{-1} N Y$ are unreduced $K_-$-tridiagonal matrices,

(b) the first columns of $X$ and $Y$ are linearly dependent, and

(c) the first rows of $X^{-1}$ and $Y^{-1}$ are linearly dependent;

then $X^{-1} Y$ is a $K_-$-diagonal matrix.

**Proof.** Since $T$ and $\tilde{T}$ are unreduced $K_-$ tridiagonal matrices, they can be viewed as unreduced $K_-$-upper Hessenberg matrices. By using Theorem 1, $X^{-1} Y$ is a $K_-$-upper triangular matrix. Apply the same argument once again. $T^T = X^T N^T X^{-T}$ and $\tilde{T}^T = Y^T Y^{-T}$ are unreduced $K_-$-upper Hessenberg matrices; hence $Y^T X^{-T} \equiv (X^{-1} Y)^T$ is $K_+$-upper triangular. It implies that $X^{-1} Y$ is a $K_+$-diagonal matrix.

We have proved that for a given $K_-$-matrix $N$ and $2n$-vectors $x$ and $y$, if the product of $K_-$-Krylov matrices $V[N^T, y, n]^T V[N, x, n]$ has the real $K_-$-LR decomposition, then there exists a nonsingular $K_+$-matrix $X$ such that $X^{-1} N X$ is unreduced $K_-$-tridiagonal. Similar results can be developed if the $K_-$-matrix $N$ is replaced by a $K_+$-matrix $M$.

In the next section, we introduce a new elimination procedure and derive the nonsymmetric $K_-$-Lanczos algorithm for the unreduced $K_-$-tridiagonal reduction on nonsymmetric $K_-$ matrices.

### 3. NONSYMMETRIC $K_-$-LANCZOS ALGORITHM

The nonsymmetric Lanczos method for extreme eigenvalue problems is to reduce matrix $N \in \mathbb{R}^{2n \times 2n}$ to tridiagonal form using a general similarity
transformation $X$ as [4]

$$X^{-1}NX = T = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 & \gamma_1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \beta_1 & \alpha_1 & \cdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \beta_{2n-1} & \alpha_{2n} \end{bmatrix}.$$ 

With the column partitionings, one denotes

$$X = [x_1, \ldots, x_{2n}],$$

$$X^{-T}Y = [y_1, \ldots, y_{2n}].$$

Upon comparing columns in $NX = XT$ and $N^TY = YT^T$, one obtains that

$$Nx_j = \gamma_{j-1}x_{j-1} + \alpha_jx_j + \beta_jx_{j+1}$$

and

$$N^Ty_j = \beta_{j-1}y_{j-1} + \alpha_jy_j + \gamma_jy_{j+1},$$

for $j = 1, \ldots, 2n - 1$, with $\gamma_0x_0 = 0$ and $\beta_0y_0 = 0$. These equations together with $Y^TX = I_{2n}$ imply the three-term recurrence formulae for computing $\alpha_j$, $\beta_j$, and $\gamma_j$:

$$\alpha_j = y_j^TNx_j, \quad (3.1)$$

and

$$\beta_jx_{j+1} = r_j = (N - \alpha_jI)x_j - \gamma_{j-1}x_{j-1}, \quad (3.2)$$

$$\gamma_jy_{j+1} = p_j = (N - \alpha_jI)^Ty_j - \beta_{j-1}y_{j-1}. \quad (3.3)$$

There is some flexibility in choosing $\beta_j$ and $\gamma_j$. A canonical choice is to set $\beta_j = \|r_j\|_2$ and $\gamma_j = x_j^Tp_j$.

In the previous section we proved that there exists a $K_+$-similarity transformation such that a $K_-$-matrix can be reduced to an unreduced
K_-tridiagonal matrix. Suppose

$$X^{-1}NX = T = \begin{bmatrix} T_1 & T_2 \\ -T_2 & -T_1 \end{bmatrix},$$

with

$$T_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 & \gamma_2 \\ \beta_2 & \alpha_2 \\ & \ddots & \ddots \\ & & \beta_n & \alpha_n \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad T_2 = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\alpha}_1 & \tilde{\gamma}_2 \\ \tilde{\beta}_2 & \tilde{\alpha}_2 \\ & \ddots & \ddots \\ & & \tilde{\beta}_n & \tilde{\alpha}_n \end{bmatrix}.$$

One wishes to be able to derive a set of formulae that are similar to the three-term recurrence formulae (3.1)--(3.3). However, there are more scalar factors $\alpha_j$, $\tilde{\alpha}_j$, $\beta_j$, $\tilde{\beta}_j$, $\gamma_j$, and $\tilde{\gamma}_j$ to be determined than the identities at hand. Because of this difficulty, we develop a new elimination procedure to annihilate the lower subdiagonals $\tilde{\beta}_j$'s of $T_2$ so that we are able to derive the five-term recurrence formulae and propose the novel K_-Lanczos algorithm. First we prove the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.1.** Suppose $N$ is a $4 \times 4$ matrix with the following structure:

$$N = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ -B & -A \end{bmatrix},$$  \hfill (3.4)

where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} \end{bmatrix},$$

and $|a_{21}| \neq |b_{21}|$. Then there exists a $4 \times 4$ nonsingular K_-diagonal matrix $D$ such that

$$DND^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{A} & \tilde{B} \\ -\tilde{B} & -\tilde{A} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{with} \quad \tilde{B} = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{b}_{11} & \tilde{b}_{12} \\ 0 & \tilde{b}_{22} \end{bmatrix}. \hfill (3.5)$$

**Proof.** The entry $a_{21}$ is used as a "pivot" element. Depending on zero or nonzero of $a_{21}$, we derive this lemma as follows.
Case I. If $a_{21} = 0$, let $D$ be the $K_+$-permutation matrix

$$D = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix};$$

then it is easy to check that $DND^{-1}$ has the structure defined in (3.5).

Case II. If $a_{21} \neq 0$, let

$$D = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{b_{21}}{a_{21}} & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & \frac{b_{21}}{a_{21}}
\end{bmatrix};$$

then $D$ is a $K_+$-diagonal matrix. Since $|a_{21}| \neq |b_{21}|$, $D$ is nonsingular and

$$D^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \frac{a_{21}^2}{a_{21}^2 - b_{21}^2} \\
0 & -a_{21}b_{21} & 0 & a_{21}^2 & \frac{a_{21}^2 - b_{21}^2}{a_{21}^2 - b_{21}^2} \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \\
0 & \frac{a_{21}^2}{a_{21}^2 - b_{21}^2} & 0 & -a_{21}b_{21} & \frac{a_{21}^2 - b_{21}^2}{a_{21}^2 - b_{21}^2}
\end{bmatrix}.$$

One can verify that $DND^{-1}$ has the structure in (3.5).

This elimination procedure can be generalized to the general $2n \times 2n$ $K_-$-tridiagonal cases. Suppose $N$ is an unreduced $K_-$-tridiagonal matrix with structure as defined in (3.4) but with

$$A = \begin{bmatrix}
a_1 & c_2 \\
b_2 & \cdots & c_n \\
& \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
& & & \cdots & b_n \\
& a_n & & & \cdots
\end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix}
\tilde{a}_1 & \tilde{c}_2 \\
\tilde{b}_2 & \cdots & \cdots \\
& \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
& & & \cdots & \tilde{b}_n \\
& & a_n & & \tilde{c}_n
\end{bmatrix}, \quad (3.6)$$
and $|b_j| \neq |\tilde{b}_j|$ for all $j = 2, \ldots, n$. Without loss of generality, we assume $b_j \neq 0$, for all $j = 2, \ldots, n$. Now let

$$D_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \frac{\tilde{b}_2}{b_2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{\tilde{b}_2}{b_2} & 0 & \cdots & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \frac{\tilde{b}_3}{b_3} & 0 & \cdots & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{\tilde{b}_n}{b_n} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$  

(3.7)

One can verify that $D_2$ is nonsingular and

$$D_2 N D_2^{-1} = N^{(2)} = \begin{bmatrix} A^{(2)} & B^{(2)} \\ -B^{(2)} & -A^{(2)} \end{bmatrix}$$

with

$$A^{(2)} = \begin{bmatrix} -a_1 & * & \cdots & * \\ * & -a_2 & \cdots & * \\ \frac{b_2 (\tilde{b}_2 \tilde{b}_3 - b_2 b_3)}{b_2^2 - \tilde{b}_2^2} & \cdots & \cdots & -a_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$-c_4$$

$$b_3 \quad a_4 \quad c_5$$

$$b_n \quad -a_n$$
Here * denotes a component that has been modified. Note that the (2,1) entry of $B$ has been eliminated.

Next we verify that $N^{(2)}$ is still unreduced by showing $|\tilde{b}_2 \tilde{b}_3 - b_2 b_3| \neq |\tilde{b}_2 b_3 - b_2 \tilde{b}_3|$. Suppose, otherwise, one has $\tilde{b}_2 \tilde{b}_3 - b_2 b_3 = \pm (b_2 \tilde{b}_3 - b_2 \tilde{b}_3)$. It implies $(\tilde{b}_2 \pm \tilde{b}_2 \mp \tilde{b}_3) = 0$. However, this contradicts that the assumption $|b_2| \neq |\tilde{b}_2|$ and $|b_3| \neq |\tilde{b}_3|$. Thus, all corresponding lower subdiagonal entries of $A^{(2)}$ and $B^{(2)}$ are unequal in absolute value. This means $N^{(2)} = D_2 N D_2^{-1}$ is maintained to be unreduced $K_-$-tridiagonal.

This procedure can be repeated for $i = 3, \ldots, n$, by defining
where \( b_i^{(i)} \) and \( \tilde{b}_i^{(i)} \) are the \((i, i-1)\) entries of \( A^{(i)} \) and \( B^{(i)} \), respectively. \( A^{(i)} \) and \( B^{(i)} \) denote the matrices after \((i-1)\) modifications. Note that whenever the “pivot” element \( b_i^{(i)} \) equals zero, one can choose \( D_i \) to be a proper \( K^-\)-permutation matrix. By letting \( D = D_N D_{n-1} \cdots D_2 \), we proved the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.1.** Suppose

\[
N = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ -B & -A \end{bmatrix},
\]

is a \(2n \times 2n\) unreduced \(K^-\)-tridiagonal matrix; then there exists a nonsingular \(K^-\)-matrix \( D \) such that the lower subdiagonals of \( B \) are annihilated. That is,

\[
DND^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} A^{(n)} & B^{(n)} \\ -B^{(n)} & -A^{(n)} \end{bmatrix},
\]

where \( A^{(n)} \) remains tridiagonal and \( B^{(n)} \) is upper bidiagonal.

With this elimination procedure and the result of Theorem 3, we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.2 (\(K^-\)-Lanczos Reduction Theorem).** Let \( N \) be a \(K^-\)-matrix. If \( V[N, x, n] \) and \( V[N^T, y, n] \) are nonsingular \(K^-\)-Krylov matrices for some \( x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} \) such that \( V[N^T, y, n]^T V[N, x, n] \) has the real \(K^-\)-LR decomposition, then there exists a nonsingular \(K^-\)-matrix \( X \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} \) such that

\[
X^{-1}NX = T = \begin{bmatrix} T_1 & T_2 \\ -T_2 & -T_1 \end{bmatrix}, \tag{3.8}
\]

where \( T_1 \) is tridiagonal and \( T_2 \) is upper bidiagonal.

With this theorem, we derive a set of five-term recurrence formulae, hence the novel approach: the \(K^-\)-Lanczos algorithm. These formulae are similar to the three-term formulae (3.1)–(3.3) for nonsymmetric Lanczos algorithm.
With column partitionings, we denote

\[ X = [x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n | Kx_1, Kx_2, \ldots, Kx_n], \]  
\[ X^{-T} = Y = [y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n | Ky_1, Ky_2, \ldots, Ky_n], \]  
and

\[
T_1 = \begin{bmatrix}
\alpha_1 & \gamma_2 \\
\beta_2 & \alpha_2 \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
\beta_n & \alpha_n
\end{bmatrix}, \quad T_2 = \begin{bmatrix}
\tilde{\alpha}_1 & \tilde{\gamma}_2 \\
0 & \tilde{\alpha}_2 \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
0 & \tilde{\alpha}_n
\end{bmatrix}.
\]  

Upon comparing columns in \( NX = XT \) and \( N^TY = YT \), we obtain

\[ Nx_j = \gamma_j x_{j-1} + \alpha_j x_j + \beta_{j+1} x_{j+1} - \tilde{\gamma}_j Kx_{j-1} - \tilde{\alpha}_j Kx_j, \]  

and

\[ N^T y_j = \beta_j y_{j-1} + \alpha_j y_j + \gamma_{j+1} y_{j+1} + \tilde{\alpha}_j Ky_j + \tilde{\gamma}_{j+1} Ky_{j+1}. \]  

for \( j = 1, \ldots, n-1 \), with \( x_0 = y_0 = 0 \). These identities together with \( Y^T X = I_{2n} \) imply

\[ \alpha_j = y_j^T Nx_j, \]  
\[ \tilde{\alpha}_j = y_j^T NKx_j, \]  
and

\[ \beta_{j+1} x_{j+1} = r_{j+1} = Nx_j - \gamma_j x_{j-1} - \alpha_j x_j + \tilde{\gamma}_j Kx_{j-1} + \tilde{\alpha}_j Kx_j, \]  

\[ (\gamma_{j+1} I_{2n} + \tilde{\gamma}_{j+1} K)y_{j+1} = p_{j+1} = N^T y_j - \beta_j y_{j-1} - \alpha_j y_j - \tilde{\alpha}_j Ky_j. \]

There is some flexibility in choosing the scalar factor \( \beta_{j+1} \). An intuitive choice is to set \( \beta_{j+1} = \| r_{j+1} \|_2 \); then one can derive \( \gamma_{j+1} = x_{j+1}^T p_{j+1} \), and \( \tilde{\gamma}_{j+1} = \)
If $|\gamma_{j+1}| \neq |\tilde{\gamma}_{j+1}|$, one obtains

$$y_{j+1} = (\gamma_{j+1} I_{2n} + \tilde{\gamma}_{j+1} K)\ y_{j+1} = \left( \frac{\gamma_{j+1}}{\gamma_{j+1}^2 - \tilde{\gamma}_{j+1}^2} I_{2n} - \frac{\tilde{\gamma}_{j+1}}{\gamma_{j+1}^2 - \tilde{\gamma}_{j+1}^2} K \right) y_{j+1}. \quad (3.18)$$

We now summarize these mathematical formulations in the following, which we call nonsymmetric $K_-$-Lanczos algorithm.

**Algorithm: Nonsymmetric $K_-$-Lanczos**

Given nonzero vectors $x_1$ and $y_1$ such that $x_1^T y_1 = 1$ and

$$\|x_1\|_2 = \|y_1\|_2 = 1.$$

Set $\beta_1 = \gamma_1 = 1$, $\tilde{\gamma}_1 = 0$. Set $x_0 = y_0 = 0$, and $p_1 = y_1$. Set $j = 1$.

While $\beta_j \neq 0 \land |\gamma_j| \neq |\tilde{\gamma}_j|$

1. $y_j = \left( \frac{\gamma_j}{\gamma_j^2 - \tilde{\gamma}_j^2} I_{2n} - \frac{\tilde{\gamma}_j}{\gamma_j^2 - \tilde{\gamma}_j^2} K \right) p_j$;
2. $\alpha_j = y_j^T N x_j$;
3. $\tilde{\alpha}_j = y_j^T N K x_j$;
4. $j = j + 1$;
5. $r_j = N x_{j-1} - y_{j-1} x_{j-2} - \alpha_{j-1} x_{j+1} + \tilde{\alpha}_{j-1} K x_{j-1}$;
6. $p_j = N y_{j-1} - \beta_{j-1} y_{j-2} - \alpha_{j-1} y_{j-1} - \tilde{\alpha}_{j-1} K y_{j-1}$;
7. $\beta_j = \|r_j\|_2$;
8. $x_j = r_j / \beta_j$;
9. $y_j = x_j^T p_j$;
10. $\tilde{\gamma}_j = (K x_j) p_j$;

End While

End Algorithm

Let

$$X_j = [x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_j | K x_1, K x_2, \ldots, K x_j],$$

$$Y_j = [y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_j | K y_1, K y_2, \ldots, K y_j].$$
and

\[ T_j = \begin{bmatrix} (T_1)_j & (T_2)_j \\ -(T_2)_j & -(T_1)_j \end{bmatrix}, \]

where \((T_1)_j\) and \((T_2)_j\) are the leading \(j\)-by-\(j\) principal submatrices of \(T_1\) and \(T_2\), respectively. It can be verified that

\[ N X_j = X_j T_j + \beta_{j+1} x_{j+1} e_{j,2n}^T - \beta_{j+1} K x_{j+1} e_{2j,2n}^T, \]

and

\[ N^T Y_j = Y_j T_j^T + (\gamma_{j+1} + \tilde{\gamma}_{j+1}) x_{j+1} e_{j,2n}^T - (\gamma_{j+1} + \tilde{\gamma}_{j+1}) K x_{j+1} e_{2j,2n}^T, \]

where \(e_{j,2n}\) is the \(j\)th column of \(I_{2n}\). Thus, whenever \(\beta_{j} = ||r_{j}||_2\), the columns of \(X_j\) define an invariant subspace for \(N\). Termination in this regard is therefore a welcome event. However, if \(|\gamma_{j}| = |\tilde{\gamma}_{j}|\), then the iteration terminates without any invariant subspace information. This problem is one of the difficulties associated with Lanczos-type algorithms.

Eigenvalues of \(T_j\) are called the \(K\)-Ritz values and used for the approximations of \(N\)'s eigenvalues. Note that \(K\) is of the form defined in (1.2), and the computations \(Kx_{j}\) and \(Ky_{j}\) are free and can be viewed as permutations. It should not be counted as matrix-vector multiplications. Therefore, this nonsymmetric \(K\)-Lanczos algorithm has the same order of computational complexity as the nonsymmetric Lanczos algorithm in terms of floating point operations.

4. ERROR BOUND ANALYSIS

An error bound for the \(K\)-Ritz values obtained from the nonsymmetric \(K\)-Lanczos algorithm is presented in this section. Hereinafter \(\mathcal{P}^k\) is the set of polynomials of degree less than or equal to \(k\). The next theorem establishes a relation between a \(2 \times 2\)-block tridiagonal matrix and its leading principal submatrices.

**Theorem 4.1.** Let \(\tilde{T}_n \in \mathbb{R}^{2n \times 2n}\) be a block tridiagonal matrix with \(2 \times 2\) blocks and \(\tilde{T}_m \in \mathbb{R}^{2m \times 2m}\) be a leading principal submatrix of \(\tilde{T}_n\). Then

\[ [e_{1,2n}, e_{2,2n}]^H f(\tilde{T}_n) [e_{1,2n}, e_{2,2n}] = [e_{1,2m}, e_{2,2m}]^H f(\tilde{T}_m) [e_{1,2m}, e_{2,2m}], \]  

(4.1)
for all $f \in \mathcal{P}^{2m-1}$, where $e_{i,2n}$ and $e_{i,2m}$ are the $i$th columns of $I_{2n}$ and $I_{2m}$, respectively.

Proof. The proof follows from Theorem 3.3 in [5].

Suppose $T_n$ is the $K_n$-tridiagonal matrix obtained from applying $n$ iterations of the nonsymmetric $K_n$-Lanczos algorithm to a nonsymmetric $K_n$-matrix $N$ and $T_m$ is a $K_m$-principal submatrix of $T_n$. One can find permutations matrices $\Pi_{2n} \in \mathbb{R}^{2n \times 2n}$ and $\Pi_{2m} \in \mathbb{R}^{2m \times 2m}$ such that $\Pi_{2n}^T T_n \Pi_{2n}$ and $\Pi_{2m}^T T_m \Pi_{2m}$, are block tridiagonal matrices with $2 \times 2$ blocks. Following from the previous theorem, we have

$$
[e_{1,2n}, e_{2,2n}]^T \Pi_{2n}^H f(T_n) \Pi_{2n} [e_{1,2n}, e_{2,2n}]
= [e_{1,2m}, e_{2,2m}]^T \Pi_{2m}^H f(T_m) \Pi_{2m} [e_{1,2m}, e_{2,2m}],
$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{P}^{2m-1}$. Since $\Pi_{2n}$ and $\Pi_{2m}$ are permutation matrices, (4.2) becomes

$$
[e_{1,2n}, e_{n+1,2n}]^T f(T_n) [e_{1,2n}, e_{n+1,2n}]
= [e_{1,2m}, e_{m+1,2m}]^T f(T_m) [e_{1,2m}, e_{m+1,2m}],
$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{P}^{2m-1}$.

For simplicity, our error-bound analysis focuses on the case where $T_n$ and $T_m$ are both diagonalizable. Suppose $T_n = X^H \Lambda Y$ and $T_m = P^H \Theta Q$, where

$$
\Lambda = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n | -\lambda_1, \ldots, -\lambda_n) = \begin{bmatrix} \Lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & -\Lambda_1 \end{bmatrix},
$$

and

$$
\Theta = \text{diag}(\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_m | -\theta_1, \ldots, -\theta_m) = \begin{bmatrix} \Theta_1 & 0 \\ 0 & -\Theta_1 \end{bmatrix}.
$$

Substituting into Eq. (4.3), one has

$$
[e_{1,2n}, e_{n+1,2n}]^T X^H f(\Lambda) Y [e_{1,2n}, e_{n+1,2n}]
= [e_{1,2m}, e_{m+1,2m}]^T P^H f(\Theta) Q [e_{1,2m}, e_{m+1,2m}],
$$

(4.4)
for all $f \in \mathcal{P}^{2m-1}$. This implies

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
    x_1^H \\
    x_{n+1}^H
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
    f(\Lambda_1) & 0 \\
    0 & f(-\Lambda_1)
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
    y_1 \\
    y_{n+1}
\end{bmatrix}
= 
\begin{bmatrix}
    p_1^H \\
    p_{n+1}^H
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
    f(\Theta_1) & 0 \\
    0 & f(-\Theta_1)
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
    q_1 \\
    q_{n+1}
\end{bmatrix}.
\tag{4.5}
$$

Here $x_i$, $y_i$, $p_i$, and $q_i$ are the $i$th column of $X$, $Y$, $P$, and $Q$, respectively. Denote

$$
x_1 = \begin{bmatrix}
    x_1^{(1)} \\
    x_1^{(2)}
\end{bmatrix},
$$

where $x_1^{(1)}, x_1^{(2)} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Using the similar notation for $x_{n+1}$, $y_1$, $y_{n+1}$, $p_1$, $p_{n+1}$, $q_1$, and $q_{n+1}$, we obtain the identity from (4.5),

$$
\left( x_1^{(1)} + x_1^{(2)} \right)^H (f(\Lambda_1) + f(-\Lambda_1))(y_1^{(1)} + y_1^{(2)})
= 
\left( p_1^{(1)} + p_1^{(2)} \right)^H (f(\Theta_1) + f(-\Theta_1))(q_1^{(1)} + q_1^{(2)})
\tag{4.6}
$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{P}^{2m-1}$. Let $g(\Lambda_1) = f(\Lambda_1) + f(-\Lambda_1)$, $g \in \mathcal{P}^{2m-2}$. Then (4.6) can be rewritten as

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} g(\lambda_i)(\bar{x}_{i,1} + \bar{x}_{n+i,1})(y_{i,1} + y_{n+i,1})
- 
\sum_{i=1}^{n} g(\theta_i)(\bar{p}_{i,1} + \bar{p}_{n+i,1})(q_{i,1} + q_{n+i,1}).
\tag{4.7}
$$

Define

$$
\varepsilon_1^{(k)} = \inf_{p \in \mathcal{P}^k, p(\lambda_1)=1} \max_{x \in S} |p(x)|,
\tag{4.8}
$$

and

$$
\delta_1(S, \tilde{S}) = \max \left\{ |x - \theta_1| \prod_{\lambda \in S} \left| \frac{x - \lambda}{\lambda_1 - \lambda} \right| : x \in S \cup \tilde{S} \right\},
\tag{4.9}
$$
where \( S \) and \( \tilde{S} \) are two disjoint sets. An error bound for the extreme \( K_-\)-Ritz values obtained from the nonsymmetric \( K_-\)-Lanczos algorithm is derived in the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.2.** Suppose \( T_n \) and \( T_m \) are diagonalizable so that \( \lambda(T_n) = \{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n \mid -\lambda_1, \ldots, -\lambda_n\} \) and \( \lambda(T_m) = \{\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_m \mid -\theta_1, \ldots, -\theta_m\} \). Assume that \( \lambda_1 \) is the largest eigenvalue in magnitude of \( T_n \) and \( |\lambda_1 - \theta_1| = \min_j |\lambda_j - \theta_j| \). Let \( \sigma_1 = \{\lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n\} \) and \( \hat{\sigma}_1 = \{\theta_2, \ldots, \theta_m\} \). If \( \sigma_1 \cup \hat{\sigma}_1 = S_1 \cup S_2 \) with \( S_1 \) and \( S_2 \) disjoint, and \( s = |S_2| \leq 2m - 3 \), then

\[
|\lambda_1 - \theta_1| \leq \varepsilon^{(2m-3-s)}(S_1) \delta_1(S_2, S_1) \frac{1}{|x_{11} + x_{n+1,1}| |y_{11} + y_{n+1,1}|} \times \left( \sum_{i=2}^{n} |x_{i,1} + x_{n+i,1}| |y_{i,1} + y_{n+i,1}| \right) \\
+ \sum_{i=2}^{m} |p_{i,1} + p_{n+i,1}| |q_{i,1} + q_{m+i,1}| 
\]

(4.10)

**Proof.** Substituting \( g(x) = (x - \theta_1)h(x)\prod_{\lambda \in S_2}(x - \lambda) \) for any \( h \in \mathcal{A}_{2m-3-s} \) with \( h(\lambda_1) = 1 \) into (4.7), one obtains

\[
(\lambda_1 - \theta_1)(\bar{x}_{11} + \bar{x}_{n+1,1})(y_{11} + y_{n+1,1})h(\lambda_1) \\
= \sum_{\theta_i \in S_1} (\theta_i - \theta_1) \prod_{\lambda \in S_2} \frac{(\theta_i - \lambda)}{(\theta_1 - \lambda)} h(\theta_i)(\bar{p}_{i,1} + \bar{p}_{n+i,1})(q_{i,1} + q_{m+i,1}) \\
- \sum_{\lambda_i \in S_1} (\lambda_i - \theta_1) \prod_{\lambda \in S_2} \frac{(\lambda_i - \lambda)}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda)} h(\lambda_i)(\bar{x}_{i,1} + \bar{x}_{i,1})(y_{i,1} + y_{m+i,1}).
\]

The error bound (4.10) follows immediately.

In [5], Ye presented a convergence analysis for the nonsymmetric Lanczos algorithm. Error bounds for Ritz values and Ritz vectors were established. In [6], Flaschka discussed the error bound from applying Lanczos-type algorithm to symmetric \( K_- \)-matrices. If we apply the nonsymmetric Lanczos algorithm on \( K_- \)-matrix \( N \) to obtain a tridiagonal matrix \( T_m \) after \( m \) iterations and utilize the analysis in [5], we have the following error bound.
Theorem 4.3. Suppose that $N$ and $T_m$ are diagonalizable with $\sigma(N) = \{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n, -\lambda_1, \ldots, -\lambda_n\}$ and $\sigma(T_m) = \{\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_m\}$. Assume that $|\lambda_1 - \theta_1| = \min_j |\lambda_1 - \theta_j|$, and let $\sigma_2 = \{\lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n, -\lambda_1, \ldots, -\lambda_n\}$, $\sigma_2 = \{\theta_2, \ldots, \theta_m\}$. If $\sigma_2 \cup \sigma_2 = \tilde{S}_1 \cup \tilde{S}_2$ with $\tilde{S}_1$ and $\tilde{S}_2$ disjoint, and $s = |\tilde{S}_2| \leq 2m - 2$, then

$$|\lambda_1 - \theta_1| \leq \epsilon_1^{(2m - 2 - s)}(\tilde{S}_1) \delta_1(\tilde{S}_2, \tilde{S}_1)$$

$$\times \frac{(\sum_{i=2}^{2n} |x_i, i|^2 + \sum_{i=2}^m |p_i, i|^2)^{1/2}}{|x_1, i|}$$

$$\times \frac{(\sum_{i=2}^{2n} |y_i, i|^2 + \sum_{i=2}^m |q_i, i|^2)^{1/2}}{|y_1, i|}. \quad (4.11)$$

Following from the discussion in [5] we analyze the magnitude of $\epsilon_1^{(k)}(S_1)$ as follows. Suppose $S_1$ lies inside an ellipse $E$ and $\lambda_1$ lies on the major axis of $E$ but outside $S_1$. Let $|\lambda_1| = d$ and the length of foci and semimajor axis of $E$ are $c$ and $a$, respectively. Then $0 < c < a < d$. Let $T_k(x)$ be the Chebyshev polynomial of degree $k$ on $[-1, 1]$ (see [8, 9]). Then

$$\min_{p \in P^k, \nu(\lambda_1) = 1} \max_{x \in E} |p(x)| = \max_{x \in E} |p_k(x)| = \frac{T_k(a/c)}{T_k(d/c)},$$

where $p_k(x) = T_k((d - x)/c)/T_k(d/c)$ [8]. Hence

$$\epsilon_1^{(k)}(S_1) \leq \frac{T_k(a/c)}{T_k(d/c)}. \quad (4.12)$$

Since $1 \leq a/c \leq d/c$, we have $T_k(a/c) \leq T_k(d/c)$. Furthermore, if $a \ll d$, that is, $\lambda_1$ is well separated from the ellipse $E$, then $\epsilon_1^{(k)}(S_1)$ is small. The bigger the difference between $a/c$ and $d/c$, the smaller the bound of (4.12). Note that $d/c$ is a measure of separation of $\lambda_1$ from $E$, and $a/c$ is a measure of flatness of the ellipse $E$.

On the other hand, if $s = |\tilde{S}_2|$ is small, $\delta_1(S_2, S_1)$ is a bound number. If $s$ is large and, in addition, $|x - \lambda| < |\lambda_1 - \lambda|$ for most $\lambda \in S_2$ and any $x \in S_1$, then $\delta_1(S_2, S_1)$ is a product of $s$ numbers (see (4.9)), most of which are less than one. Hence it is a small number.
Thus, for an extreme eigenvalue $\lambda_1$, we can partition $\sigma_1 \cup \hat{\sigma}_1$ into a union of $S_1$ and $S_2$ so that $s$ is small and $S_1$ lies in a flat ellipse, which is well separated from $\lambda_1$. Then Theorem 4.2 says that we can expect a good approximation bound for $\lambda_1$. By comparing Theorems 4.2 and 4.3, since the $|S_2|$ is less than $|S_2|$, (4.10) is a tighter error bound.

5. NUMERICAL EXPERIMENTS AND REMARKS

In this section, we use several numerical experiments to access the viability of the proposed nonsymmetric $K_-$-Lanczos algorithms to extract the eigenpairs for the $K_-$-eigenvalue problem (1.5). In the experiments, we focused on finding the eigenvalues with maximal absolute values and the corresponding eigenvectors. Based on the numerical results, we compare the convergence behavior and numerical efficiency of this novel structure-preserving algorithm with the conventional nonsymmetric Lanczos algorithm.

The results reported herein were obtained using Pro-Matlab 3.x. Random $K_-$-matrices with known exact eigenvalues are generated. The spectral distributions of these random $K_-$-matrices are manipulated to be clustered along the real axis and imaginary axis to match the typical phenomena arising in the time-independent Hartree–Fock model. The ratios of the largest eigenvalue in magnitude to the second largest, $|\lambda_1|/|\lambda_2|$, are all less than 1.02 in order to test the robustness of the algorithms. Many experiments have been conducted and we report here only a few of them in Table 1, which shows the number of iterations required for the $K_-$-Ritz value and Ritz value to converge to the largest eigenvalue in magnitude for matrices of different sizes. Figures 1–3 illustrate the convergent behavior of these two algorithms for the first three testings. We note that the results in Table 1 are typical among all testings.

<p>| TABLE 1 |
| Comparison of Number of Iterations Required to Converge to the Largest Eigenvalue in Magnitude |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Size of matrix $N$</th>
<th>Nonsym. $K_-$-Lanczos</th>
<th>Nonsym. Lanczos</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5000</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10000</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50000</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>131</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FIG. 1. Comparison of convergence behavior for a random $500 \times 500$ $K_-$ matrix.

FIG. 2. Comparison of convergence behavior for a random $1000 \times 1000$ $K_-$ matrix.
On the basis of these results, we observe that the proposed nonsymmetric $K_-$-Lanczos algorithm converges faster in terms of the number of iterations to converge than the classic nonsymmetric Lanczos approach in all experiments we conducted. Some remarks are in order.

1. After $j$ iterations, nonsymmetric $K_-$-Lanczos algorithm produces a $2j \times 2j$ $K_-$-tridiagonal matrix, while nonsymmetric Lanczos algorithm produces a $j \times j$ tridiagonal matrix. The computational complexities for the nonsymmetric $K_-$-Lanczos reduction and the nonsymmetric Lanczos reduction are approximately even.

2. The KQR algorithm [6] or KQZ algorithm [7] should be applied to compute the $K_-$-Ritz values from the $K_-$-tridiagonal matrix. On the other hand, the QR algorithm [10, 11, 12] or QZ algorithm [13] can be applied for computing the Ritz values from the tridiagonal matrix. The computational cost for obtaining the same number of $K_-$-Ritz values via KQZ algorithm and Ritz values via QZ algorithm are equal [7].

3. If the extreme eigenvalue in magnitude $\lambda_1$ of a $K_-$-matrix $N$ is complex, it follows that $\lambda_1$, $\bar{\lambda_1}$, $-\lambda_1$, $-\bar{\lambda_1}$ are all extreme eigenvalues in magnitude of $N$. There will be four $K_-$-Ritz values obtained at one time from the nonsymmetric $K_-$-Lanczos algorithm to approximate these four eigenval-
ues at the same time. However, the Ritz values produced by the nonsymmetric Lanczos algorithm cannot attain this behavior.

4. If $T_j$ is the $2j \times 2j$ $K$-tridiagonal matrix produced by the nonsymmetric $K$-Lanczos algorithm, there exists a permutation matrix $\Pi_{2j}$ such that $\Pi_{2j}T_j\Pi_{2j}^{-1} = \tilde{T}_j$ is a $2 \times 2$-block tridiagonal matrix. Indeed, nonsymmetric $K$-Lanczos algorithm can be viewed as a special $2 \times 2$-block nonsymmetric Lanczos algorithm. Hence better convergent rate can be expected.

5. Neither the nonsymmetric Lanczos algorithm nor the $2 \times 2$-block nonsymmetric Lanczos algorithm can maintain the $K$-structure like the nonsymmetric $K$-Lanczos algorithm does.

6. One may construct counterexamples to make the algorithm break down. However, the breakdown situation has not been observed in our numerical experiments with randomly generated $K$-matrices.

6. CONCLUSION

In this article, we propose a novel method, the nonsymmetric $K$-Lanczos algorithm, for solving the $K$-eigenvalue problems, $Nx = \lambda x$, hence the generalized eigenvalue problems $Mx = \lambda Lx$. We proved the existence of $K$-tridiagonalization theorem, developed a new elimination procedure, and derived an error bound for the extreme $K$-Ritz value. Numerical experiments show that nonsymmetric $K$-Lanczos algorithm converges faster than the classic nonsymmetric Lanczos algorithm in all randomly generated test $K$-matrices. We remark that the error bound is not intended to provide a practical computable estimation of the number of iterations required, but rather to illustrate the convergence behavior of the nonsymmetric $K$-Lanczos algorithm.
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